THE Infinits Lawyer. 8° price 41.

Art Chricalin , or, The Art of Conveyanclag explained. 2 Vols. 8°.

3. A Treatile of Trover and Convertion , with at

Abitraft of the Law of Detime. 8.

4. Ari Transferendi Dominiam ; or, A Law-Guide

to the Conveyancer. 8°.

5. Legis Series, or, The Process of the Law in Order. The second Edition, with Additions.

price 6 d.

6. In Primogeniti; or The Dignity, Right and Priviledge of the First-born, inquitited and defended against the impious Practice of some Fa-thers, in disinheriting their First-begotten Sons 4%

Advertisement.

HIS Treatise of Hereditary Defcents, was Analysis of the Laws of England, written by my Lord Chief Justice Hale. The Analysis is divided into Chapters, each treating of a particular Subject whereof the following Difcourse is one entire Chap-That there is such a Manuscript extent, and known to be my Lord Hale's, see, & Diference of Bonds of Relignation, by Dr. Stilling flees, late Bilhop Worcefter, page 112.

THE Infinits Lawyer. 8° price 41.

Art Chricalin , or, The Art of Conveyanclag explained. 2 Vols. 8°.

3. A Treatile of Trover and Convertion , with at

Abitraft of the Law of Detime. 8.

4. Ari Transferendi Dominiam ; or, A Law-Guide

to the Conveyancer. 8°.

5. Legis Series, or, The Process of the Law in Order. The second Edition, with Additions.

price 6 d.

6. In Primogeniti; or The Dignity, Right and Priviledge of the First-born, inquitited and defended against the impious Practice of some Fa-thers, in disinheriting their First-begotten Sons 4%

Advertisement.

HIS Treatise of Hereditary Defcents, was Analysis of the Laws of England, written by my Lord Chief Justice Hale. The Analysis is divided into Chapters, each treating of a particular Subject whereof the following Difcourse is one entire Chap-That there is such a Manuscript extent, and known to be my Lord Hale's, see, & Diference of Bonds of Relignation, by Dr. Stilling flees, late Bilhop Worcefter, page 112.

OR, A

TREATISE

Hereditary Descents:

Shewing The

Rife, Progress and Succesfive Alterations thereof:

AND ALSO,

The LAWS of Descent, as they are now in Use.

WITHA

Scheme of Pedigrees; and the Degrees of Parentage and Confanguinity.

LONDON: Printed, and are to be Sold by Robert Battersby, at Steple-line-Gate, next the Bare in Patters. 1700.

O R. A

TREATISE

Hereditary Descents:

Shawing The Street Progreds and Successive Alterations thereof

AND ALSO,

he LAWS of Defcent, as they are now in Ute.

with A. Cheme of Pedigrees; and the Degrees of Parentage and Confanguinity.

ONDON: Printed, and are to be Sold by Robert Burteriby, at Staple-law-Site, next the Santin Helbork. 174.

ELECTION OF THE PROPERTY OF TH

A R Bis

dis

lhe !

chen of

o N bert in H Most Obliged and most

Obedieux Servant,

B. S.

TO

OT

Sir L. E. Knight.

T H I S

TREATISE

Moth Hamly Descard

BY HIS

Mod Obliged and most

Obodicie Servant,

The out 1 4

vallen hidright to the

TOTHE

READER:

This little Treatife of Hereditary DeScents being recommended to my perusal, I willingly embraced the opportunity of shewing my esteem of the great Learning of the Author, and my Love for the Publick in Sending it abroad. And I was the

To the Reader.

rather induced to the Publication of it, heraule upon review of these Papers, I found the Contents of them to be generally util and very instructive.

This Treatife I think may be truly faid to be Primæ Impressionis, the first, nay, and the only Book of this nature extant, and our Author bas by his Labour and Study, shortned the tediques way of drudging in large Volumes, by giving

Tothe Reader

this Book, in which be ha laid together and fulb digested, whatever is necessary and weful to the knowledge of the Law in this particular. And I don't doubt but you will find the Author has braveto acquitted himself in the management of bis Works and laid you under the Obligation of owning the Depth of his Learning, and the Strength of his Fudgment.

-

0

,

To the Reader.

I am unwilling to a tain you any longer that only to tell you, that the in this Treatife there is nothing but what most Practicers do know already; yet the Method beleive will render useful in some sort to the of the greatest Learning

and to day out and bloom

B. 5.

D

thighten,

scheme of

And also, The Degrees of

Adgustien parte Patris.

Cousins on the part of the Father, the more worthy in Descents, the farther re-

Linea transfourfalis seu collateralis RIGHT The Side Line.

Abpatrum magmis. The great Uncles Grand-Father on the Fathers fide. Abamita magna.

The great Uncles Grand-Mother on the Fathers fide.

The great Uncles Father on the Fathers fide. Proamita magna.

The great Uncles Mother on the Fathers fide.

Patruns magnus. The great Uncle on the Fathers fide.

Amita magna. to. The great Aunt on the Fathers fide.

of The Uncle or Fathers Bro-

RECTA 1

Tritaruns. The great Grand-Fa- T

thers great Grand-6

Father. Attavas.

The great Grand-Fathers Grand-Father.

Abavus. The great Grand-Fa- 1 thers Father.

Programs. The great Grand-Fa-, 7 ther.

Avus. The Grand-Father, 27

> Pater. Father.

UMI

Fedigiees?

of Parentage and Confanguinity.

LINEA:

Tritari

-6 thers great Grand-Mother.

Attavia.

The great Grand-Fa-thers Grand-Mother.

Abawia.

The great Grand-Fathers Mother.

Progrisa. - The great Grand Mother.

Avia.

2 The Grand-Mother.

Mater. Mother. Cognari ex parte Matris.

Mother, the less worthy in final anti-Defcents, the nearer of part Marth,

LINE. Linea transcersalis feu collateralis

Abavunculus.

The great Grand-Fa- The great Uncles Grand-Father on the Mothers fide.

Abmatertera magna. The great Uncles Grand-Mother on the Mothers fide.

Progresculus magnus. The great Uncles Father on the Mothers fide.

Promatertera magna. The great Uncles Mother on the Mothers fide.

Avunculus magnus. he great Uncle on the Mothers fide.

Matertera magna. the great Aunt on the Mothers fide.

Avunculus.

The Uncle or Mothers Bro-

there fide.

Patrios.

Pho Uncle or Fathers Brother.

The Aunt or Fathers Sifter.

Frater.

A Brother.

Semi Germanus Fratër,

Brother of one Father Parts & ther, and feveral Mo-

ther, and feveral Mothers.

Seror.
Sifter.

Patrueles à Patrue.

Germans on the Fathers fide.

Amitim ab Amita.

Sons on Daughters, Confin

Sons or Daughters, Cousin Germans on the Fathers fide.

> Horum. Of these, Filius, The Son. Filia. The Daughter right Cousin Germans.

collateralis. The collateral Nephew, Neptis collateralis. The collaPater. 1

The Grand-Father. 27

Avus.

Linea rella a

Propo

Linea recta de

The Right Line

Son.

Nepos linealis.

The lineal Nephew. 2

Pronepos linealis.
The lineal Nephew of Neeces Son.

Abnepos linealis.
The Grand-Son of the lineal Nephew

Atnepos linealis.
The great Grand-Son of the lineal Ne-

nhew or Neece

or Neece.

.

rife.

THE OIL

Avia.
2 The Grand-Mother.

Matet.
1 Mother.
ella ascendeni.
Line ascending.

ositus.

Line descending.

Eta descendens,

Neptis linealis.
2 The lineal Neece.

Daughter.

Proneptis linealis.

The lineal Nephew or Neeces Daughter.

Abneptis linealis.

The Grand-Daughter of the lineal Nephew or Neece.

Asnepsis linealis.
The great GrandDaughter of the li-

Materiera magna. he great Aunt on the Mothers fide.

Acounculut.

Uterini,qu

The Uncle or Mothers Bro ther.

The Aunt or Mothers Sifter.

Brother.
Vierinius Frater,
Brother of one Mother and feveral

Farhers.
Soror,
Sifter.

Avunculini ab Avunculo.

Sons or Daughters, Cousin
Germans on the Mothers
side.

Materieini d matertera.

Sons or Daughters, Coulin
Germans on the Mothers
fide.

Horum. Of thefe.

Filia. The Son.
Filia. The Daughter, right Coulin
Germans.

Eorum. Of these. Nepos collateralis. The collateral Nephew. Neptis collateralis. The collateGermans on the Fathers

Filius, The Son. Filia. The Daugh-

Filia. The Daughter right Coulin Germans.

collateralis. The collateral Nephew, Neptis collateralis. The collaral Niece.

Eorendem. Of these. Pronepos collateralis. The collateral Nephews Son. Proneposis collateralis. The collateral Nephews Daughter.

Dies poft.

Le fic in infinitum.

Pronepos linealis.
The lineal Nephew or Neeces Son.

Abnepos linealis.
The Grand-Son of the lineal Nephew or Neece.

Atnepos linealis.
The great Grand-Son of the lineal Nephew or Neece.

Trinepos linealis.
The great Great
Grand-Son of the
lineal Nephew or

Et sic in infinitum.

Neece.

Sons or Daughters, Coulin Proneptis linealis. new The lineal Nephew or Neeces Daughter. Horum. Of thefe.

Abneptis linealis. of A The Grand-Daughter of the lineal Nephew or Neece.

> Atneptis linealis. The great Grand-

Ne-5 Daughter of the lineal Nephew or Neecc.

Trineptis linealis. at The great Great the Grand-Daughter of the lineal Nephew OF ; or Neece.

Et fic in infinitum.

new 4

Son

Germans on the Mothers

Filing. The Son. Filia. The Daughter, right Coulin Germans.

Eorum. Of thefe. Nepaj collateralis. The collateral Nephew. Nepris collateralis. The collateral Neece.

Eorundem. Of thefe. Pronepos collateralis. The collateral Nephews Son. Proneptis collateralis. The collateral Nephews Daughter.

Et fie in infinitum.

Succession of mac, till it arrived to the State and

best colons but a succession of the colons o

A P U.D . AMBOO

ANGLOS

My design in the following Discourse is to Treat of the Hereditary Transmission of Lands from Ancestor to Heir, and the certainty thereof, and what growth this Doctrine has had in B SacSuccession of time, till it arrived to the State and Presection which now it hath.

And touching Hereditary Transmission, or Succession commonly with us called Descents, I shall hold this Order in my Discourse, (viz.)

count touching the Ancient Laws, both Jewish, Greek and Roman, concerning this matter.

things, wherein it may appear, how the particular Customs, or Municipal Laws

Laws of other Countries, varied from those other Laws.

3/y, To give forme account of the Rules and Laws of Defeents, or Hereditary Transmissions as they stood, and at this day stand in England, with the successive alterations, that process of time, and the wisdom of our Ancestors, and Customs grown up, tacitely, gradually and successively, have made therein.

And first touching the Succession or Descent of Inheritance, as also of Goods, among the Jews, Mr. Selden

B 2

in his Book De Successionibus opud Hebreos, hath given us an excellent account, as well out of the holy Text, as out of the Comments of Rabbins, or Jewish Lawyers, which I briefly comprise, in the 5, 6,7, 12 and 13 Chapters of that Book, the sum whereof, for so much as concerns my purpose, is this.

1. That in the descending Line, the Descent or Succession, was unto all the Sons, only the eldest had a double Portion, (viz.) If there were three Sons, the eldest had two fourths, and

and each nother Son

2. The Nephew, or Son of the Son, dying in the Fathers Lafe, and fo in infinitum, fucceded in the partition of his Father, as if his Father had been in Policifion of it.

S

O

C

C

e

C

s,

3. The Daughter did not fucceed in the Inheritance of the Hather, as long as there I was from them. But if one Son had died in the life of his Father, had long Daughters and B 2 with-

Daughters fucceeded in his part, as if he had been Policifed.

Sons but Daughters, the Daughters equally fucceeded their Father without any prelation of the eldett, to two parts, or a double Portion.

Inheritance, and died without Issue, having a Father, and Brothers, the Inheritance of the Son deseemded, not to his Brothers unless

in case of the next Brother taking to Wise the deceased's Wise, to raise Children for the Brother deceased, but in such case the Father inherited his Son entirely.

dead, it came to the Brothers, as it were as Heirs to the Father, in the fame manner, as if the Inheritance had been actually poffessed by him; and therefore, the Fathers other Sons, and their Descendants in infinitum succeeded, but B.

-out yet equally, and withon out any double Portis on to the eldeft; beone cause (though in truth the Brothers succeeded as it were in Right of Representations from the Father, yot) the Father dying before era wielis Son, the Dolcent oris of was de facto, immedistaw ately from the Brother to the Brother monthere the Law gave not a double Portion; and in case the Father had no Sons, or Defeendants from them, then it descended to all the Sifters. runt fuccostiled and loss

alish o the Frommer After 7. If the Son died without Iffue, and his Father or any Descendants to wfrom him were extant, it went not to the Grandfather, or his other Descendants. But if the Father were dead without Iffue, it defeended to the Grandfather, and if he were dead, then to his Sons our and their Descendants, more and for want of them, conti then to his Daughters or their Defcendants, as if the Grandfather himfelf had been actually possessed, and had died, hall And fo, mutatis mutay-0193

dis, to the Prozons, Abaous, Atavus, Gr. But the
Inheritance of the Son,
never retorted to the
Mother, or to any of
her Ancestors, but she
and they were totally
excluded.

8. The double Portion that was therefore jus primogeniture never took place, but in that perfon that was the Primogenitus of him, from whom the Inheritance immediately descended, or in him that represented him. If A. had two Sons, B. and C. and B. the eldest, had

two Sons, D. and E. and died B. foold have had a double Portion, (viz.) two thirds and C. only one third. Andlif B had died in the life time of A and then A. died, D. and E. should have had the two chirds or double Portion, which had belonged to B. if he had furvived his Father, and this double Portion fhould have been divided between D. and E chus, viz D. should have had two thirds of the two thirds that came to them, and E. the other third part thereof.

)-

n

0

1.

d

0

two Sort, P. and E.

Among the Gracians, the Laws of Descents, in fome fort, refembled those of the 7ems. In fome things they differed Vide Petyts Leges Attier, Tit. 6. De Teftamentis & Hareditario Jure, where the Text of their Law runs thus, Omnes Legitimi Fili Hareditatem Poternam ex aquo inter se Heriscunto. Siquis intestatus meritur, relictis filiabus, qui eas in Uxores ducent Haredes sunto. Si nulla superfint, hi ob intestato hareditatem cornunts. Es primo quidem Fratres defuncti Germani Legitimi Fratrum Filiihareditatem fimul adounto.Si nulli Fratres aut Fratrum Filii

Superfint, iis geniti eadem Lege bareditatent cernunto: Mafculi autem its geniti, etiamfi temotiori cognationis fint gradu præferantor. Si nulli superfint Paterni proximi ad fobrinorum usque Filios, materni defuncti propinqui simili Lege Haredisarem adeunto Si è neutra cognatione supersint intra definitum gradum, proprior cognatus paternus adito Notho Nothave. Superfite legitima Filia, Nothus hareditatem Patris ne adito. This Law is very obscure, but the Sence feems to be briefly this, That all the Sons equally inheric the Father; but if he have no Sons, then the

5

C

S

0

the Husbands of the Daughters; if he have no Children, then his Brothers, and his Brothers Children; and if none, then his next Kindred of the part of his Father, preferring the Males before the Females; and if none of the Fathers Line, ad fobrinorum usque Filios, then to descend to the Mothers Line. Vide Petri's Gloss, in banc Legem.

Among the Rondus it appears, that the Laws of Succession did fuccessively vary, for the Laws of the Twelve Tables excluded the Females from Inheriting, and

fi fi

f

and had many other straitnesses which were succesfively remedied by Claudius, and after him by Hadrianus, in Senatus=confulto Ter= tulliano, and after him by Justinian, in the third Book of his Institutes, De Hæreditatibus que ab intestato deferuntur, and the two enfuing Titles. And again, all this further explained, and fetled by the Novel Constitutions of the same Justinian, stiled Authentica Novella, de Hareditatibus ab Inteflato venientibus, & agnatorum jure fublato; Therefore omitting the large Inquiry into the fuccessive changes

changes of the Roman Law in this particular, I shall only set down how, according to the Constitution, the Roman Law stands setled therein.

The Defcents, or Successions from any Person, are of three Kinds, viz.

- Defeending
- 2. Afcending

intelliging

3. Collateral, viz. In Agnatos à Parte Patris, in Cognatos à Parte Mattris.

1 ft.

if, In the descending Line, these Rules are directed.

whether Male or Female, whether immediately or remote, takes place, and prevents the Descent or Succession Ascending, or Collateral, in infinitum.

d

In

4

A.

dants of the Descending Line, succeed in Stirpem, That is, to succeed into that right which his Parents should have had.

3. That this Descent or Succession is equal in all the Descendants, without

preference of the Male before the Female. So that, if the Common Ancestor had three Sons and three Daughters, each had a fixth part, and if one died in the life of the Father, having three Sons and three Daughters, that fixth part, that had belonged to the Person dead, should have been equally divided, between his or her fix Children, and so in infinitum, in the Descending Line.

21, In the Ascending Line, there are these Rules.

1.lf

PAR S

If the Son die without Iffire, or any Descending from him, leaving a Father and Mother, both of them shall equally succeed to the Son, and prevent all others of the Collateral Line, Except Brothers and Sisters, as shall be said, or if only a Father, or only a Mother, he or she alone shall succeed.

d

1-

t,

C

1,

y

r

g

g

If

aly, But if the deceased had a Father, Mother, Brother and Sister, ex atriusque parentibus conjuncti; they shall all equally succeed the Son; by equal parts, with out preserence of the Male.

3. In the Collateral Line.

1. If the Descendant die without Father, Mother, Son or Daughter, or any Descending from them in the right Descending Line, the Brothers and Sifters ex utriusque Parentibus conjun= Eli, and the immediate Children of them, shall fucceed equally, without preference of either Sex, and the Children from them, shall facceed in Stirpes. As if there be a Brother and Si= fter, and the Sifter dies in the Life of the Descendant, leaving one or more Chil dren- All fuch Children shall succeed in the moiety, that

that should have come to their deceased Mother, had she survived.

e.

ic

r,

17

m

e,

ex

n=

tc

dl.

C-

10

ll

if i=

C

,

n

,

- 2. But if there be no Brosthers or Sifters, ex utriusque Parentibus conjuncti; nor any of their immediate Children, then the Brothers and Sifters of the Halfsblood, and their immediate Children, fucceed in Stirpes, to the deceased, without any Prerogative to the Male.
- 3. But if there be no Brosthers or Sisters of the whole, or half-blood, nor any of their immediate Children, (for their Grand-Children C are

then the next Kindred are called to the Inheritance.

4. But if the next be in equal degree, whether on the part of the Father, as Agnati; or on the part of the Mother; as Cognati, then they are equally called to the Inheritance, and equally succeed in Capita, and not in Stirapes.

Thus far of these settled Laws of the Jews, Greeks and Romans. But the particular, or Municipal Laws, and Customs of almost every Country, derogate from these Laws, and direct Successis

Successions in a much dif-

v)

re

in

n

r,

10

as

e-

i=

d

ra

es

8,

CF

n

t

For instance, By the Cuftoms of Lombardy (according to which, the Rule of the Feuds, both in their Descents, and other things, are much directed) their Descents are in a much different manner. Lib. 1. Fend. Tit. i. If a Feud be granted to one Brother, who dies without Isfue, it Descends not to his Brother', unless especially so provided in the first Infeudation.-If the Donce dies, having Iffue Sons and Daughters, it descends only to the Sons. Whereas, by the Roman Law, it, descends both

both to the Sons and Daughters. The Brother alfo fucceeds not, to the Brother, unless specially so provided ibid. Tit.50. The Afcendants succeed not, but only the Descendants, neis ther doth a Daughter fucceed, nisi ex parte, vel nisi sit Feudum famininum.

If we come nearer home, to the Alarmandy Laws, there are two kind of Lands partable, or not partable; the Lands that are partable, are all Vavasories, Burgages, and fuch like, which are much of the nature of our Socrage Lands. These descend to all the Sons, or to all the Brothers. Lands not part-

able are Fiefs and Dignitics; these descend to the eldest Son, and not to all the Sons, and if there be no Sons, then to all the Daughters partable. For want of Sons and Nephews, it des fcends to the Daughters, if no Sons or Daughters; or Descendants from them, it descends to the Brothers and for want of Brothers, to the Sifters, observing, as before, the difference between Lands partable and not partable, and accordings ly the Descent runs to the posterity of the Brothers, una to the seventh Degree. And if there be no Brothers or Sifters, or any Descendants from

from them, within the fes venth Degree, it descends to the Father; and if the Father be dead, to the Un= cles and Aunts, at Supra, to Brothers and Sifters; and if there be none, then to the Grand-father. So that, according to their Law, the Father is postpon'd to the Brother and Sifter, and their Issues, but is prefere red before the Uncle; tho' by the fewish Law, the Father be preferred before the Brother; by the Roman Law fucceeds together with the Brother; and by the English Law, takes not im= mediately by descent, but the Fathers Brother. 2. If

2. If Lands descend from the part of the Father, they never Resort by Descent, to the Line of the Mother; but in cases of Purchases by the Son, who dies without Issue; for want of Heirs of the part of the Father, it descends to the Heir of the part of the Mother, according to the Law of England.

n

1

2

t

3. The Son of the eldest Son, dying in the life of the Father, is preferred, before the younger Son furviving the Father, as the Law stands here now, but it hath some interruption-4. In 4. In an equality of degree, in Collateral Descents, the Male Line is preferred, before the Female.

5. Although by the Civil Law, Fratres utriusque Parentis conjuncti, præferuntur fratribus consanguineis tantum, vel uterinis; yet it should feen, by the Custom of Normandy, That Fratres con-Sanguinei,viz. ex eodem patre, sed diversa matre, shall take by Descent, together with the Brothers, ex utroque conjuncti, upon the death of any of fuch Brothers. But this feems to be a mistake, for it feems the Half-blood. hinders

hinders the Descent between Brothers or Sisters.

6. Leprofie was among them, an Impediment of Succession, but then it feems, it must be folemnly adjud= ged to be a Leprosie, by the Sentence of the Church. Upon this and much more that might be observed. upon the Customs of feveral Countries, the Rules of Succession, or Heredi= tary Transmission, have been various in feveral Countries, according to various Laws, Customs and U= fuages.

bak were at Trincpanoes

a be a si prime

And now, after this brief
Survey of the Laws and
Customs of other Counseries, I come to the Laws
and Usuages of England in
relation to Descents, and
the growth that those Customs have successively had,
and whereunto they are
now arrived.

ditary Succession, it seems, that according to the Anscient British Laws, their eledest Sons inherited their Earldoms, and Baronies, for they had great Dignistics, and Jurisdictions ansnexed to them, and were in nature of Principalities.

But

But their ordinary Freeholds descended to all the Sons; and this Custom they carried with them ins to Wales, whither they were driven. This appears by the Statute Wallia 12 Ed. 1. Aliter usitatum est in Wallia quam in Anglia quoad Suc= cessionem Hareditatis, eò quòd Hæreditas partibilis est inter Hæredes Masculos, & à tem= pore cujus non extiterit Me= moria partibilis extitit. Domi= nus Rex non vult quod Consuetudo illa abrogetur, sed quod Hareditates remaneant partis biles inter Consimiles Hæredes, ficut elle consueverunt, & fiat Partitio illius figut fieri confuevit; boc excepte, qued Bostardi

r

2

non habeant de cæteroHæreditates, & etiam quòd non habeant Purpartes cum Legitimis, nec sine legitimis. Upon which three things are observable.

First, That at this time, the Hereditary Succession of the eldest Son, was then known to be the Common, and usual Law in England.

of all the Sons, was the Ancient Customary Law among the British in Wales, which is here continued.

3/1, That before this time, Battards were admitted to Inhe9-

5.

n

C

e,

n

nn,

n

w

254

IC,

to

C-

Inherit in Wales, as well as the Legitimate, which U-fuage is here abrogated. And although we have but few Evidences, touching the British Laws, before their Expulsion into Wales, yet this utage feems fufficiently to Evidence, That this was the antient British Law.

aly, As to the times of the Saxons and Danes, their Laws collected by Brampton, and by Mr. Lambard, speak not much concerning the Course of Descents. Yet it seems, that commonly the Descents of their ordinary Lands, (at least except Bartonics and Royal Inheritances)

tances) descended also to all the Sons. Among the Laws of Canatus, there is this Law, Lambard fol. 122, Tit. de Inteflato Mortuis. Sime quis incuria, five morte repentina fuerit intestato Mortuus, Dominus tamen nullam renum fuarum partem (præter eam quæ jure debetur Hereoti nomine) sibi assumito. Verum eas Judicio suo Uxori, Liberis, & cognatione proximis, juste (pro suo cuique jure) distribuite. Upon which we may observe these things.

that the Wife had a thare, as well of Lands for her Dower, as Goods. to

nc us

iżs

14

i.

4-

la e)

u-

6

Ai

į.

y

01

ly,

CLIT

aly. That in reference to Heroditary Succession, there then feemed to be thute difference, between Lands and Feuds, for here is no distinction. The lower off

3/9, That there was a kind of feuled right of Successia on with reference to proximity and remotences, are fao chique jure.

chelic app. et allo com Aly That in reference to Children, they feemed all to facceed alike, without any distinction between the Males and Females. indices The appears by

washe on Daws Total

for might dispose by his Will, as well of Lands as Goods, which usage seems to have obtained, unto the time of H. 21 as appears hereafter by Glanvil.

the Conquest, the Descent of Lands was, at least to all the Sons alike, and, for ought appears also, to all the Daughters, and that there was no difference in the Hereditary Transmission of Lands and Goods at least, in reference to the Children. This appears, by those Laws of King Edward, con-

confirmed by the Conquers er and recited in Lambard fol. 167. and also by Mr. Selden upon Eadmerus, Lege 36. Tit. De Inteftatorum bonis 184 Siquis inteflutus obierit, Liberi ejus Hæreditatem equaliter dividant.

Bue this equal division of Inheritances among the Children, was found to be

rollyna the land cobard

very inconvenient as land

his

115

to

til

nt

to or

all

at

G.

at

he

oy

n-

For First, It weakned the Strength of the Kingdom, for by frequent parcelling, and fubdividing of Inheritances in process of time, Inheritances were fo crumbled, that there were few persons of able Estates, left [civits

to

ges or Offices

2/2, It did by degrees, bring the Inhabitants to a low kind of Country Living, and Families were broken, and the youngerSons, which had they not had thefe little parcells of Land to apply themselves to would have betaken themselves either to Trades, or Military, or Civil, or Ecclefiaftical Imployments, neglected those opportunities, and applied themselves to their small dividends of Land, whereby they neglected opporrunities of ogreater advanrage , to chrich them**felves** C#

H,

g, n,

h

lc

ly

VC

to

ot

n

ofe

ed

all

16-

r.

n-

n-

7CS

felves and the Kingdom, And therefore , William the Conqueror (having by his accession to the Crown, gotten the Possessions and Demeans of the Crown and also, very many and great poffessions of them that opposed him, or adhered to Harold); disposeth of these Lands, br great part of them to his Countrymen, and others that adhered to him, and retained certain Honorary Tenures, either by Baronage, or in Knights Service, or by Grand Serjeantry, for the Defence of the Kingdom. And possibly also, as the defire of many Owners.

ers, changed their Tenures into Knights Service. Which Introduction of new Tenures, was not nevertheless without confent of Parliament, as appears by the additional Laws before mentioned That King William by the advice of Parliament made mention of by Mr. Selden, upon Eadmerus pag. 191, among which this was one (viz.) Statuimus etiam & firmiter pracipimus ut omnes Comites, Barones, Milites & Servientes, & universi Liberi homines totius Regni noftri, habe= ant, & teneant fe femper in armis, & in equis, ut decet oportet. Et quod fint semper prampti, & bene parati

es :h

cis

rnc

rc

ig of

E-

g

es,

72-

es

25

in

et

7-

rati ad fervicium fuum integrum nobis explendendum, 15 peragendum, cum semper opus offuerit, secundum quod nobis de Feodis debent , 19 Tenemoutis suis de jure sacere. Et pout illis paruimus, per commune consilium totius Regni nostri & illis dedimus & concessimus in Feodo jure Hæreditario. Whereby it appears, that there were two kinds of Military Provisions, one that was fet upon all Freeholders by common confent of Parliament which was usually called Affiza Armorum, and another that was Conventional, and by Tenure upon the Infeudation of the Tenant, which was called Knights Service, and

and fornetimes Royal, and fornetimes Foreign Service, and fornetimes Servicion Lorica.

And hence it came to pass, that (not only according to the Cultoni of Normandy, but also according to the Custom of other Countries.) These Honorary Fees, or Infeudations became descendable to the eldeft, and not to all the Males. And hence it is, That in Kent, where the Custom of Descent to all the Males, generally prevails; They pretend, a concelli-on of all their Customs by the Conqueror, to obtain their Submission to his Govern-

Government, according to the Romantick Story of their moving Wood. Yet, even in Kent it felf, thefe ancient Tenures or Fees that are anciently held by Knights Service, are defeendable to the eldeft Son, as Mr. Lambard hath ob ferved to my hand, inpug. 553 out of the 9th of H.3. Pitz Tit. Prefeription 63. 96 H. 8. 5. and the Statute of 31 H. 8. cup. 3. But you, even in Kom it felf, If Gavelkindo Land, Escheargoon come to the Crown by Actainder, or Diffelution of Monasteries, and be granted to be held in Knights Service , or per Baronium, the

r-

-

Ser

"

10

s, ic

;

y

n

the Customary Descent is not changed, neither can be; but by Act of Parliament; for it is a Custom fixed to the Land.

But those Honorary Fees, made in ancient times ; fo thordy after the Conquest, did filently, and fuddainly affume the Rule of Des fcent to the eldeft, and accordingly held it; and for (although possibly there were no Act of Parliament of those elder times, that altered the ancient course of Descents, from all the Sons to the eldeft, or at least none that we know of yet,) the use of the Neighbour Country, might intro=

introduce the fame Ufage here, as to these Honorary Possessions.

And because these Honorary Infeudations were many, and feattered almost through all the Kingdom in a little time; they introduced a a parity in the Succession of Lands of other Tenures, as Soccage or Vavafories. So that without question, by little and little almost generally in all Counties of England (except Kent, who were most Tenacious of their own Cultoms, in which they gloried, and fome particular Fees, and Places where a contrary Utage prevailed) the geners

ceffions by little and little, as well of Socrage Lands, as of Knights Service, went to the eldest Son, according to the Declaration of King Edward the fift, in the Statute of Wales abovementioned, as will more fully appear by what follows.

In the time of H. 1.

Lambard fol. 203. we find in his 70th Law, that it should feem, that the whole Land did not yet descend to the eldest Son, but began a little to look that way. Printum patris feudam primogenius filius laberate. As to Collateral Descents, the Law determined thus,

¢,

s,

ıc

-

of

C

)-y

d

t

t

1

thus, Lambard ut Supra. Siquis fine liberis decesserit, Pater aut mater ejus in Han reditatem succedet; wel frater vel foror fi pater & mater defint; si nec hos habeat, foror Patris wel Matris, & deinceps in quintum geniculum: qui cum propinquieres in parentela, frunt, Hiereditario jure Succedant; de dum virilis Sexus extiterit. & Hareditas abinde fit, famining non Hareditetur. By this it feems. Colletoni

1. The eldeft Son (though he had Jas Primogenitura, the principal Fee of his Fasher, yet) he carried not all the Land

thus, Lambard as lurran S

2. That for want of Children the Father or Mother, inherited, before the Brother or Sifter.

3. That for want of Children, Father, Mother, Brothers and Sifters, the Lands decended to the Uncles and Aunts, to the Fifth Degree.

4. That in Succession Collateral Proximity of Kindred was preferred.

preferred before the Fermale, That is, the Father's Line was preferred before the

the Mothers, unless the Land descended from the Mother, and then the Mothers Line was to be

preferred.

5 5 h

f

5 5

How this Law was observed in the Intervals, between Henry the first, and Henry the fecond, we can give no. . account. But the next period that we come to, is Henry the 2d. Glanvil in his seventh Book, gives us fome account how the Law stood in his time, wherein, notwithstanding it will appear, there was some incertainty in the business of Descents, or Hereditary Successions, though it was

much better polited than

formerly.

The Rules then of Succession were either in reference to Goods or Lands. As to Goods, one third part went to the Wife, another third part to the Children, the other third part to the Testator's disposal, But if he had no Wife, a Moiety went to the Children, the other Moiety to his disposal, Glan. lib. 7. c.5. But as to the Succession of Lands, the Rules were these:

Knights Service, they generally went to the eldest Son, and in case of no Son,

O

TUNG

PCtl

24

d

ob

ru

li

to all the Daughters; and in case of no Children, to the eldeft Brother.

m

c-

c-ls.

rd

0

rt

1,

il-

to

5.

of

c:

TC

to

2/y, If the Lands. were Sorage, it descended to all the Sons, Si fuerit Socagium & id antiquitus divisum, only the chief House was to be allotted to the Pourparty of the eldeft, and a Compensation made to the rest in lieu thereof. Si vero non suevit antiquitus Divisum, tunc Primogenitus, secundum quorundam confuetu= dinem totam Hareditatem obtinebit, secundum autem quoconfuerudinem posta eft natus filius Hares eft, Glanvil on, lib.7.cap.3. So that although Cufform

Custom directed variously the Descent, either to the eldest, youngest, or all the Sons, Yet, it seems at this time Jus commune, or Common right spoke for the eldest Son to be Heir, no Custom intervening.

Daughter, so their Chilidren in infinitum are present ferred in the Descent be fore the Collateral Line, or Uncles.

two Sons, and the eldest be dies in the life time of the Lather, having a Son or Daughter, and then the Father

fly.

the

the

me

Father dies; it was then controverted, whether the Son, or the Nephew should ion succeed the Father, though left the better Opinion feemed Cus to be for the Nephew, Ibid. cap. 3.

or 5ly, A Bastard could not nile Inherit, ibid. cap. 13. And re although by the Com= be mon and Civil Law, If A. ne hath a Son born of B. before Marriage, and after A. Marries B. this Son be ave Legitimate and Heredita= left ble: Yet according to the the Law of England then used, or as well as after, he was not the Hereditable, Glan. lib. 7. her cap. 15.

E 3 6ly, 6ly, In case the Put chaser die without Issue the Lands descended to the Brother, and for want of Brothers to the Sifters and for want of them to the Children of the Bro thers or Sifters, and for want of them to the Un cles, and fo onwards ac cording to the Rules of Descents at this day; and the Father and Mothe were not immediately to Inherit the Son, but the Brothers or Uncles, and their Children, Glan. lib. 7 cap. 4.

And it feems, that in all things else the Rule of ue, Descent, in reference to to the Collateral Line, held rant much the fame as now: ers. As namely, If Land de= to fcended of the part of the Bro Father, it should not resort for to the part of the Mother, Un & converso; But in case of ac Purchase, for want of Heirs of the part of the and Father, it reforted to the the Line of the Mother, and the Blood was preferred, fo and that if there were any of b. 1 the part of the Father, though never fo far die flant, it hindered the de-And scent to the Line of the E 4 Mother

Mother, though much nearer.

There were in those times as it seems two Im² pediments of Descent, or Hereditary Succession which now do not at all obtain.

in Leprofie, if fo adfinded by the Sentence of the Church, this indeed I find not in Glanvil, but I find it pleaded, and allowed in the time of King John, and the Land adjudged to the Sifter, P. 4, Johannis.

2. There

2. There was another curiofity, and it is wonderful to fee how much, and how long it prevailed, for we find it in use in Glanvil, that wrote in King Hen. 2d's time; in Bracton, that wrote in Hen. 3d's time; in Fleta, that wrote in the time of Ed. 1. and in the broken year Ed. 1. Fitz. Avowry 235. Nemo potest effe Tenens & Dominus & Homagium repellit perquisi= tum. And therefore, if the eldest Brother had enfeof= fed the fecond referving Homage, and had received Homage, and then the fecond had died without Iffue,

1

fII

fue, it should have descend= ed to the youngest, and not to the eldest Brother; quia Homagium repellit perquifitum, fee for this that I may mention it once for all, Glan. lib. 7. cap. 1. Bra. lib. 2. cap. 30. Fleta lib. 6. cap. 1. And fo it has been for ought I can had ever fince 3 Ed. 1. and indeed it is antiquated rather than altered, and the Pancy upon which it is ground: ed hath appeared trivial; for if the eldest Brother enfeoff the fecond refer= ving Homage, the fecond dying without Islue, it will Descend to the eldest as Heir, and the Seig= nioury d

it elikation

nioury is extinct. Indeed it might have been forme Reason to have examined, whether he might nor have waved the Descent, in case his Services had been more beneficial than the Land; but there could be little Reason for this to exclude him from Succession. I shall mention no more of this nor the former Impediment, (viz.) Leprofic, for they are both vanished, and antiquated long fince, and neither the one nor the other is at this day any impediment of Descent.

Bra A

And now passing over the time of King John, and Richard the first, because I find nothing of moment in that time relating to the Title in question, unless the usurpation of King John upon his eldest Bros thers Son, which he would fain have justified, by introducing a Law of preferring the younger Son before the Nephew, descended from the eldest Brother: But this pretention could no ways justifie his Ufur? pation, as hath been shewn in the time of Henry the Second.

We have the Tractate of Bracton lib. 2. cap. 30,31. and lib. 5. The truth is, there is fo little variance as to the Points of Defcents. between the Law as it was taken when Bracton wrote, and the Law as it was afterwards taken in Edward the first's time, when Britton and Fleta wrote, that there is very little diffe= rence between them as may cafily appear, especially by comparing of Brad ubi fupra and Fleta Lib.5. Chapter the 9th, Liber the 6th, Chapters the if and 2d, that the latter feems to be in effect an Abstract of the former, therefore I shall see down what

what in substance both say, and thereby it will appear, that the Rules of Descents in the times of Henry the 3d, and Edward theis, were very much one.

that the eldest Son was in Common right Heir, not only in cases of Knights Service Land, but also of Soccage Lands, unless there was a Special Custom to the contrary, as in Kent and some other places, and so that Point of the Common Law is fully settled.

2/y, That all the Descendants in infinitum, from any Person that had been Heir (if he had been living) were Inheritable: As the Descendants of the Son, of the Brother, of the Uncle, &c.

3ly, That the eldest Son dying in the life time of the Father, his Son or Issue was to have the preference as Heir to the Father before the younger Brother, and so the doubt in Glanuil's time was settled, Glanuil's time was se

filio præmorturo Nepotem, magna quidem Juris dubitatio solet esse, uter illorum præseren= dus sit alij in illa Successi= one; scilicet utrum Filius an nepos.

4ly, The Father, or Grandfather could not by Law Inherit immediately his Son.

sh, Leprosie, though it were an exception to the Plaintiff, because he ought not to converse in the Courts of Law, yet we no where find, that it was an Impediment of Descent.

So that upon the whole matter for any thing I can observe in them, the Rules of Descent then stood settled in all Points as they are at this day, except those sew matters which yet in process of time soon settled as they now stand, (viz.)

the hinderance of Descent, from him that did Homage, to him that received it, seems to have yet been in use, at least till the 3 Ed. 1. and in Fleta's time, for he puts the case and admits it.

F 2:Wheres

2. Whereas they both as gree, that Half = blood to him who is the Purchafer, is an Impediment of the Descent; yet in case of a Descent from a Common Ancestor, Half = blood is no Impediment. For instance; A. hath Issue B. a Son, and C. a Daughter by one venter, and D. a Son by another venter, if B. Purchase in Fee, and die without Islue, it shall descend to the Sister, and not to the Brother of the Half-blood: But if the Land had descended from A to B. and he had en= tred and died without Iffue;

an

of

山山

fue; it was a doubt in the time of Bracton and Britton, whether it should go to the younger Son, or the Daughter, but though it were then a doubt, yet the Law hath since that time been settled, that in both eases it descends to the Daughter, Seseina facit Stirpem to primum gradum, to possession facit sorrem es primum gradum, to possession facit sorrem es been established.

r

aff HULL e

C

1

Upon the whole matter it seems, that abaring these small inconsiderable variances, the States and Rules of Descents as they stood, in the time of Henry the hird, or at least of Ed-

F 2 ward

ced to their full Complement and Perfection, and vary nothing confiderably, from what they are at this day, and have continued ever fince that

time.

I shall therefore set down the State, and Rules of Descents in Fee-simple as they stand at this day, without medling with particular Limitations and Entails, which vary the course of Descents in some cases from the Common Rules of Descents in Hereditary Succession, and herein we shall see what the Law hath been, and

u=

c-

nd

y,

at

n-

at

ct

CS

ole

y,

r-

nd

10

ne

n

e=

d

30

d

1=

continued touching the fame ever fince Bracton, who wrote in Henry the third's time, now above Four hundred years fince, and by that we shall see what alterations succession of time hath made therein.

And now to give a short Scheme of the Rules of Descents, or Hereditary Successions of the Lands of Subjects, as the Law stands at this day, and hath stood settled here for a bove Four hundred years.

All possible Hereditary Succession may be distinguished into these three kinds:

F 3 1/1,

1st, Descending, as from Father to Son, or Daughter, to Nephew, or Niece.

Brother to Brother, or Sifter and Brothers Children.

aly, Afcending, either direct, as from Son to Father, or Grand-father which is not admitted by the Laws of England; or in the Transversal Line, as to the Uncle or Aunt, Great Uncle, or Great Aunt, and because this Line again dis vides it felf into the Line of the Father and Mother, this Transversal ascending Succession is either in the Line of the Father, Grandfather, &c. or in the Line of of the Mother, Grand-Mother, Ge. the former are called Agnati, the latter Cognati, I shall therefore set down a Scheme of Pedigrees, to explain the nature of Descents, or Hereditary Successions.

m h-

mí

51-

n.

liach

he

to

nd li=

of nis

IC-

he

d-

ne

of

Pedigree.

Application will give a plain account of all Hereditary Succession, under their several Cases and Limitations, as will appear by these ensuing Rules, take our Mark or Epocha from the Father.

F 4 Rule,

I Rule, In Descents the Law preserts the Worthiest Blood; and upon this Account.

nediately the Male is preferred before the Female, whether in Successions, Defcending, Ascending or Collateral; therefore the Son Inherits and Excludes the Daughter, the Brother is preferred before the Sister, the Uncle before the Aunt.

aly, In all Descents immediate, the Descendants from Males are preserved before the

the Descendants from Females; and hence it is, that the Daughter of the eldest Son, is preferred in Descent from the Father, before the Son of the youngest Son, the Daughcer of the eldeft Bro= ther or Uncle is preferred before the Son of the younger; the Uncle, nay the Great Uncle, or Great Grand-fathers Brother shall Inherit before the Uncle of the Mothers fide.

2 Rule, That in Descents, the next of Blood is preferred before the Remote, though equally worthy; and upon this account.

ting, Arminger, acho desorther

whole Blood, is preferred in Descents before the Brother of the half Blood, because more strictly joyned to the Brother of the whole Blood, (viz. by the Father and Mother) than the Brother, though otherwise more worthy of the half Blood.

24, Because the Son, or Daughter is nearer than the Brother, the Brother or Sister than the Uncle, the Son or Daughter shall Inherit before the Brother or Sister, and they before the Uncle.

3/y, That yet the Father or Grand-father, or Mother or Grand-mother in a direct afcending Line, shall never succeed immediately, the Son or Grandchild: But the Fathers Brother shall be preserved before the Father, and the Grand-fathers Brother, shall be preferred before the Grand-father, and yet upon a ftrict account, the Father is nearer of Blood to the Son than the Uncle, yea than the Brother; for the Brother is therefore of the Blood of the Brother, because both derive from the fame Parent, the

the Common Fountain of both their Blood. And upon this account, the Fasther is at this day preferred in the Administration of his Sons Goods, before his Sons Brother of the whole Blood, and a Remainder limited Proximo de Sanguine shall vest in the Uncle.

Gendants from such a Perfeendants from such a Perfon, as by the Law of England, might have been Heir to another, hold the fame right by Representation, as that Common Root, from whom they are Descended. And therefore,

of, They are in Law in the fame Right of Proximity and Worthiness of Blood. as their Root that might have been Heir, was in cafe he had been living: And hence it is, That the Son or Grand-child, whether Son or Daughter of the eldest Son, fucceeds before the youngest Son. The Son or Grand-child of the eldest Brother, fucs ceeds before the youngest Brother, and fo in all Degrees of Succession by the right of Representation, the right of Proximity, is transferred from the Root to the Branches, and gives them

them the same preference as next, or Worthiest of Blood.

2/4, This Right transferred by Representation, is infinite and unlimited in the Degrees of those that descend from the Repres fenter; the Filius, the Nepos, Pronepos, Abnepos, and so in infinitum, enjoy the fame Privilege of Representation, as those from whom they derive their Pedigree, as well in De-Scents Lineal as Transversals and therefore the Abnepos, or Abneptis of the eldest Brother, whether it be Son or Daughter, shall be preferred

ferred before the youngest Brother, because, though the Female be less worthy than the Male, yet she stands in right of Representation of the eldest Brother, who was more worthy than the youngest.

aly, And upon this account it is, That if a Man hath two Daughters, and the eldeft die in the Life of the Father, leaving fix Daughters, and then the Father dies, the youngest Daughter shall have an equal share to all the rest, because they stand in Representation of their Mother,

Mother, who should have had but a Moiety.

4th Rule, That by the Laws of England, without a Special Custom to the contrary, the eldeft Son or Brother, or Uncle ex cludes the younger, and the Males in an equal Degree do not all Inherit: But the Daughters whether by the fame, or divers venters do Inherit together, the Father and all the Sifters do Inherit, the Brother by the same ventcr.

sthRule, That the last actual Seizin in any Ancestor, makes him as it were the Root of the Descent equal to many Intents, as if he had been a Purchaser; and therefore, he that cannot according to the Rules of Defcent derive his Succes fion to him, who was last actually feized, though he might have derived his Succession to some precedent Ancestor shall not Inherit. And hence it is, That where Lands descend to the eldeft Son from the Father, and the Son enters and dies without Iffue, his Sifter of the whole Blood

-

shall Inherit as Heir to the Brother, and not the younger Son of the half Blood, because he cannot be Heir to the Brother of the half Blood. But if the eldest Son had furvived the Father, and died before Entry, the youngest Son should Inherit as Heir to the Father and not the Sister, because he is Heir to Father, that was last actually feized. And hence it is, that though the Uncle is preferred before the in Descent to the Son; yet if the Uncle enter after the Death of the Son, and die without Issue, the Father shall Inherit

L

fu

F

th

of

th

BI

of

he

M

276

herit the Uncle, Quia Seifina facit Stirpem.

6th Rule, That who foe ver derives a Title to any Land, must be of the Blood of him that first purchased it. And this is the Reason why, if the Son purchase Lands and dies without Iffue, it shall descend to the Heirs of the part of his Father, and if he hath none, then to the Heirs of the part of his Mother, because tho' the Son hath both the Blood of the Father and of the Mother in him, yet he is of the Blood of the Mother; and the Confanguinei of the Mother are

t

e

e

e

£

t

Confanguinei cognati of the Son. And of the other fide, if the Father had purchased the Land, and it had defeended to the Son, and the Son had died without Iffue, without any Heir of the part of his Father, it should never have descended in the Line of his Mother, but escheated, for though the Confanguinei of the Mother were Consanguinei to the Son, yet they were not of Consanguinity to the Father, who was the purchafer. But if there had been none of the Blood of the Grandfather, yet it might have reforted to the Line of the Grandmother, becaufe

10

c,

e=

10

le,

he

ld

in

r,

gh

0=

to

ot

2=

2-

cn

he

hε

nc

6-

fc

cause her Consanguinei were as well of the Blood of the Father as the Mothers Con= Janguinity is of the Blood of the Son. And consequently alfo, if the Grandfather had purchased Lands, and it had descended from him to the Father, and from him to the Son, if the Son had entered and died without Issue, his Fathers Brothers or Sisters, or their Descendants, or for want of them, his Grandfathers Brothers or Sisters, or their Descendants, or for want of them, his great Grandfathers Brothers or Sifters, or their Descendants, or for want of them his great GrandGrandmothers, Brothers or Sifters, or their Descendants might have inherited; for the Confanguinity of the great Grandmother, was of the Confanguinity of the Grandfather, but none of the Line of the Mother or Grandmother, (viz.) the Grandfathers Wife should have inherited, for that they were not of the Blood of the first Purchaser. And the fame Rule è converso holds in Purchases in the Line of the Mother or Grandmother, they shall always keep in the same Line, wherein the first Pur= chaser settled them. But it is not necessary, that he

or

n-

iof

as

ne

of

or

10

d

at

d

d

fo

c

or

II

IC

(=

it

e

t

that inherits be always Heir to the Purchaser, but it sufficeth if he be of his Blood, and Heir to him who was last seifed. The Father purchaseth Lands, and it Descends to his Son who dies without Iffue, it shall never descend to the Heir of the part of the Sons Mother; But if the Sons Grandmother hath a Brother, and the Sons great Grandmother hath a Brother, and there is no other Kindred, it shall descend to the Grandmothers Bros ther; and yet, if the Father had died without Issue, his Grandmothers Brother mould have been preferred

red before his Mothers Brother, because the former was Heir of the part of his? Father, though by a Female, and the latter was Heir of the part of his Mother. But where the Son is once feifed, and dies without Issue his Grandmothers Brother is to him Heir of the part of his Father, and being nearer than his great Grand= mothers Brother, is preferred in Descent. But this is always intended, so long as the Line of the Descent is not broken, for if the Son alien those Lands, and then repurchase them again in Fee; Now the Rules of

Descent hold as if he had been the original Purchaser, and that it had never been in the Line of the Father or Mother.

orla Comonical

7th Rule, In Succession, as well in the Line Defeending, Transversal or Afcending, the Line that is first derived from a Male Root, hath always the preference. A. hath Iffue two Sons, B. and C. B. hath Iffue a Son and a Daugh= ter, D. and E. D. the Son hath Issue a Daughter, F. and E. the Daughter hath Issue a Son, G. C. nor any of his Descendants shall not inherit fo long as there

Descendants from D. and E. the Daughter, nor none of her Descendants shall in herit, so long as there are Descendants from D. the Son, whether they be Male or Female.

In Descents, Collateral as Brothers and Sisters, the same Instance applied evidenceth the conclusion But in Successions in the Line Ascending, there must be a suller explanation, because it is darker and more obscure; I shall therefore set forth the whole Method of Transversal, Ascending, Descents in these ensuing Rules.

1/t.

of Rule, If the Son purchafeth Lands in Fee-fimple, and dies without Iffue, those of the Male Line Ascending usque in instruitum shall be preferred in the Descent according to their Proximity of Degree to the Son. Therefore the Fathers Brothers or Sifters, or their Descendants shall be preferred before the Brothers of the Grandfather and their Descendants. And again, if the Father had no Brothers nor Sifters, the Grandfathers Brothers and their Descendants, and for want of Brothers, the Grandfathers Sifters, and their

their Descendants should be preferred before the Brothers of the great Grandfather: For although by the Law of England the Father nor Grandfather cannot immediately inherit the Son, yet the direction of the Descent to the Collateral Line afcenda ing, is as much as if the Father or Grandfather had been by Law inheritable, and therefore as in cafe the Father had been inheritable, he should have inherited the Son before the Grandfather, and the Grandfather before the great Grandfather, and confequently if the Father

had inherited and died without Issue, his eldest Brother and his Descendants should have inherited before the younger Brother, and his Descendants, and if he had no Brothers but Sifters, his Sifters and their Descendants should inherit before his Uncles, or the Grandfathers Bro= thers, and their Descendants, fo though the Law of England exclude the Fa= ther from inheriting, it substitutes, and directs the Descent as it should have been, if the Father had inherited, viz. Lets in those first that are in the next Degree to him.

ind bairned bed

2d Rule is this, That the Line of the part of the Mother shall never inheric. as long as there are any though never fo remote of the Line of the part of the Father; and therefore. though the Mother hath a Brother, yet if the Atavus or Atavia of the Father hath a Brother or Sifter, He and She shall be preferred and exclude the Mothers Brother though he is much nearer.

3d Rule, But yet farther.
The Male Line of the part
of the Father descending,
shall in aternum exclude the
Female

Female Line of the part of the Father afcending and therefore in the case proposed, the Son purchasing Lands and dying without Iffue the Sifter of orthe Father, Grandfather or great Grandfather, and fo in infinitum shall be preferred before the Fathers Mo= thers Brother, though the Fathers Mothers Brother ber a Male , and the Fathers Grandfathers Sifter be a Female, and more remote, because it is in the Male Line, which is more worthy than the Female Line, though even the Female Line be of the Blood of the Fatherals bas regrowd

4th Rule . But as in the Male Line afcending, the more near is preferred in the Descent before the remote; fo in the Female Line descending, so it be of the Blood of the Father, the more near is preferred before the remote. The Son therefore purchaseth Lands and dies without Issue, the Father, Grandfather, and great Grandfather, and fo upward, all the Male Line are dead without Brother or Sifter, or any descending from them, but the Fathers Mother hath a Sister or Brother, and also the Father's

thers Grandmother hath a Brother, and likewife the Fathers great Grand-mother bath a Brother; it is rrue, all thefe are of the Blood of the Father, and the very remotest of these shall ex= clude the Sons Mothers Brother; and it is likewise true, that the great Grandmothers Blood hath paffed through more Males of the Fathers Blood, than the Blood of the Grand mother, or Mother of the Father, but in this case the Fathers Mothers Sifter shall be preferred before the Fathers Grand-mothers Brother, or great Grand= mothers

mothers Brother, because they are all in the Female Line, viz. Cognati, and the Fathers Mothers Sister is the nearest, and therefore shall have the preference, as well as in the Male Line ascending the Fathers Brother or Sister, shall be preferred before the Grands Fathers Brother.

sth Rule, And yet in the last case, where the Son purchaseth Lands and dies without Issue, and without Heir of the part of his Grand = father, the Land should descend to his Grand = mothers Brother or Sister,

as Heir of the part of the Father; yet, if the Father had purchased this Land and died, and it descended to his Son who died with= out Issue, the Lands should not have descended to his Fathers Mothers Brother or Sifter, for the Reason given in the eighth Rule, but for want of Brothers or Sifters of the Grandfather, great Grand-father, and so upward in the Male ascending Line, it should defcend to the Fathers Grandmothers Brother or Sifter, which is Heir of the part of the Father, who should be preferred before the H 2 Fathers

Fathers Mothers Brother which was in truth the Heir of the part of the Mother of the purchaser, though the next Heir of the part of the Father of him that last died feized. And therefore, as if the Father who was the purchaser had died without Issue, the Heirs of the part of his Father, whether of the Male or Female Line, should have been preferred before the Heir of the part of the Mother; fo the Son that stands now in the place of his Father, and inherits to him primarily in his Fathers Line dying without Iffue, the same Devos

lution and Hereditary Succeffion, should have been as if his Father had immediately died without Iffue which should have been to his Grandmothers Brother as Heir of the part of the Father, though by the Female Line, and not to his Mothers Brother, which was only Heir of the part of his Mother, and not to take till his Fathers Fine, as well Female as Male was fpent.

chase Lands and dies without Issue, and it descends to any Heir of the part of H 3 the the Father, and then the Line of the Father, (after Entry and Possession) fail, it shall never refort to the Line of the Mother, tho in the first Instance, or first Descent from the Son, it might have descended to the Heir of the part of the Mother: For now by this Descent and Seisin, it is lodged in the Fathers Line, to whom the Heir of the part of the Mother can never derive a Title as Heir, but it shall rather Escheat But if the Heir of the part of the Father had not entered, but then that Line had failed, might

fa

d

th G

th

Ф

H

th

Li

th

of

might have descended to the Heir of the part of the Mother, as Heir to the Son, to whom immediately for want of Heirs-of the part of the Father it might have descended.

fame Reason, if it had once descended to the Heir of the part of the Father of the Grand-sathers Line, and that Heir had entered, it should never descend to the Heir of the Grand-mothers Line, because the Line of the Grand-mother was not of Blood or Confanguinity to the Line of the Grandsathers side, 8th

De Successionibus Ge

8th Rule, If for cors of the purchater coart of the Father, Descend to the L preferred in Succession be fore her Heirs of the part of her Mothers lide, because are the more worthy. great part of thefe differences are easily to be colle eted out of the Refolutions in the cale of . Clare verfus Brooke, alias Cobbam. thus the law lands in point of Defcents, or Hereditary Succession in England at this Day, and for above Four hundred years pa

